ORIGINAL ARTICLE

CODEN: AAJMBG

Obesity and fracture healing

M.S. Patil^{*} and Hashmi Baseer

Department of Orthopaedic, Al Ameen Medical College and Hospital, Athani Road, Vijayapur-586108 Karnataka, India

Abstract: *Background:* Obesity is an independent risk factor in trauma related morbidity. This study described the prevalence of obesity/overweight in children and adolescents reporting a recent fracture in relation to fracture healing and associated complications. *Methods:* In this prospective randomized controlled study. 52 cases were enrolled with various fractures, who underwent definitive treatment either conservative / operative. All patients were classified in various categories depending upon their BMI, after calculating their height and weight according to WHO standards. All patients were followed up for at least 4 months with monitoring clinically and radiologically at 6th, 12th weeks and there after once a month for evaluation of status of bone healing and complications. *Results:* Average healing time was 8.4 weeks. In case of pre obese it was 7.07 weeks with P < 0.09. In class I Obese, it was 8.8 weeks with P <0.001. In class II Obese, it was 7.6 weeks with P <0.005 and in class III, it was 9.5 weeks with P<0.005. After applying t value and P value we found that there is significant delay in healing time, in patients belonging to higher obese class accompanied by complications. *Conclusion:* We found significant increase in fracture healing time with higher BMI and also associated complications.

Keywords: Obesity, Fracture, BMI, Fracture Healing, Complications.

Introduction

Obesity was traditionally thought to be beneficial to bone and thus to protect against osteoporosis [1-3]. According to the centres for disease control and prevention 1999-2002 statistics. Almost 16% of children and adolescents have a BMI (kg/m2) \geq 95th percentile for age and gender and are classified as overweight [4]. The prevalence of overweight has more than tripled in the past three decades [5]. Concomitantly, the prevalence has increased for many obesity related co-morbid conditions [6]. Orthopaedic complications of excess weight in adults include progression of degenerative osteoarthritis and articular cartilage breakdown[7-8], a decline in physical functioning [9] and poorer outcomes after orthopaedic surgery for obesity related disorders [10-11].

Some orthopaedic conditions/disorders that are unique to childhood also have been suggested to be weight related. For example, retrospective analysis of children and adolescents with slipped capital femoral epiphysis and adolescent tibiavara (Blount's disease) reveal representation of overweight individuals [12-14]. Mechanical loading due to over-weight stimulates bone formation by decreasing apoptosis and increasing

proliferation and differentiation of osteoblasts and osteocyte through the Wnt/bcatenin pathway [15-17]. signaling Therefore, mechanical loading conferred by body weight is part of the assumption that has led to widespread belief that obesity may prevent bone loss and osteoporosis [18-22]. However recent reports have shown that excessive fat mass may not protect humans from osteoporosis and in fact increased fat mass which is associated with low total bone mineral density and total bone mineral content [23-24].

Various studies reported that weight above 95th percentile had an increased risk of complications following titanium elastic nailing for femur fractures. The smaller cross-sectional area confers a biomechanical disadvantage that, coupled with the greater body weight, increases the vulnerability to fracture after a fall, entered anthropometric and DEXA bone density data from 50 boys, into a computer simulation to estimate the mean impact force on bone. They predicted that obese patients had a higher likelihood of fracture at low fall heights compared with non-obese patients.

In our study we found that patients were more likely to sustain complex variety of fracture with delay in healing time, making them vulnerable to complications (i.e malunion, nonunion, postoperative infection etc), which made us to evaluate.

Material and Methods

A total number of 52 cases with various fractures were enrolled and treated conservatively / operatively at Al-Ameen Medical College and Hospital, Vijayapur, Karnataka between June 2014 to June 2015.

Inclusion criteria: Patients regardless of age with high BMI or Obese.

Exclusion criteria:

- 1. Patients with normal weight or BMI.
- 2. Osteogenesis imperfecta, Chronic Kidney diseases and patients on long term corticosteroid.

BMI was obtained by measuring weight in kilograms and height in meters, and calculated according to standard given by WHO formula as below.

BMI = Weight (in kg) / Height (in meters)²

Patients were divided into four BMI classes

Table-1: BMI Classification				
Under weight	<18.5			
Normal range	18.5-24.9			
Over weight	>=25.0			
Pre-obese	25.0-29.9			
Obese	>=30.0			
Obese class I	30.0-34.9			
Obese class II	35.0-39.9			
Obese class III	>= 40.0			

Demographic data including name, age, sex, diagnosis, weight, height, BMI, postoperative complications, length of follow up's healing time were recorded. Complications like nerve injuries/palsies were diagnosed on motor examination. A sample size calculation was performed to determine minimum number of patients required to detect 20% difference in complexity between BMI classes. Statistical significance was assigned at P <0.05, All results are expressed as mean SD. The data were analyzed using the student's t- test for paired samples, analyses of variance (ANOVA) and linear regression analysis. A significant level of P - 0.05 was used for all comparisons. All tests were two sided and values of P - 0.05 indicated statistical significance for a power of 80%.

Results

Out of 52 cases enrolled for study, 7 cases were excluded, 1 with chronic kidney disease, 2 with a long term steroid therapy, 4 failed to follow up. So total of 45 patients were taken into consideration with a systematic BMI calculation, 45 cases were sorted out in 4 classes. Such that Preobese (25-29.9 BMI) were 15 in number, class I obese (30-34.9 BMI) were 16 in number, class II obese (35-39.9 BMI) were 10 in number and class III obese (40 + BMI) were 4 in numbers.

All these patients who were treated conservatively or operatively were strictly followed up for at least four months, monitoring clinically and radiologically at every 6th week, 12th week and there after once a month for evaluation of status of bone healing and complications.

Table-2: Showing statistical test for significance of difference between Healing time of Normal against Obese at different levels										
BMI range	Healing time of cases in weeks		Normal healing time in weeks		t - value	P - value	Conclusion			
	Mean	STDV	Mean	STDV						
25-29.9	7.07	2.71	5.7	1.26	1.72	>0.09	Not significant			
30-34.9	8.8	1.73	6.4	0.81	4.32	< 0.001	Significant			
35-39.9	7.6	1.7	6.2	0.6	2.43	< 0.005	Significant			
40+	9.5	1.3	6.75	1.5	2.77	< 0.005	Significant			

The average healing time was 8.4 weeks. While in cases of Pre-obese it was of mean 7.07 weeks with P > 0.09. In class I obese it was of mean 8.8 weeks with P <0.001. In class II of 7.6 weeks with P <0.005 and in class III it was 9.5 weeks with P <0.005 [Table No 2]. These differences were highly suggestive of delay in healing process, which was more significant in class two and class three. These results were systematically plotted on a bar diagram showing significant delay in healing time which is more evident in class two and class three obese. The complications include infection, nerve injury, delayed union, malunion, nonunion and implant failure were observed. Occurrence of these complications in various classes of obese patients which is statistically presented in terms of percentage, showed that patients with BMI below 25 showed 16.66% of complication, Preobese individuals showed 73.33%, 68.75% in class I, 80% in class II and 75% in class III, showing average percentage of 97.87.Comparing the percentage of good results in patients with BMI below 25 to obese classes it was 8.34 to 2.13 [Table No 3].

Table-3: Data Analysis on number of Complications and Good Results							
BMI Range	Percentage of complications	Percentage of good results					
Below 25	16.66%	8.34%					
25-29.9	75%						
30-34.9	80%						
35-39.9	90%	97.87%	2.13%				
40+	75%						

Those patients with complications like wound infection were treated with antibiotics accordingly, who responded well. Whereas patients with non-union, malunion and implant failure underwent repeat surgical procedure.

Discussion

In this study, we found that obese and overweight patients were more likely to have increased bone healing time and complications. Based on the available literature, obesity appears to affect bone metabolism through several mechanisms. Obesity may decrease bone formation because adipocytes and osteoblasts are derived from common

multipotent mesenchymal stem cells. Bone continuously undergoes a process called modeling/remodeling by bone resorption and bone formation by osteoclast and osteoblast respectively. Bone metabolism is regulated by adipocytes, osteoblasts and osteoclasts. Fat accumulation in bone is closely related to bone formation and resorption. Adipocytes secrete several cytokines such as TNF alpha, IL1, IL6 adiponectin and leptin which are capable of modulating osteoclastogenesis through RANKL (receptor activator of nuclear factor kappa-b) pathway causing bone resorption. According to framington study, felson et al. [18] Increased body weight or BMI is positively correlated with bone mineral density or bone mass. Obesity is associated with low grade chronic inflammation.

In obesity, adipose tissue is infiltrated with an increased amount of macrophages activity and increased bone resorption, which is positively correlated with the upregulation of RANKL. Pro-inflammatory cytokines including TNF-a, IL1 and IL6 are key mediators in the process osteoclast differentiation of and bone resorption. It has also been established that upregulated pro-inflammatory cytokines are primary mediators of osteopenia or osteoporosis. These pro-inflammatory cytokines are capable of stimulating osteoclast activity through the regulation of the RANKL/RANK/OPG pathway. The significant increase in the development of osteoarthritis in obese human subjects is another evidence that chronic inflammation influences bone metabolism.

Comparing the treatment of all fractures between groups, obese patients were more likely to receive operative intervention for their fractures. This is consistent with a study who reviewed 1341 paediatric patients and found that obese patients had higher incidence of orthopaedic surgical intervention. Obese children have more subcutaneous fat around their thighs, making it more difficult to achieve proper cast moulding and fracture stabilization, supporting that conservative line of management will have higher chance of failure leading to complications. Based on the above evidence we found similar findings in obese patients who were treated conservatively and were found with complications like malunion and nonunion. Fat mass in body may limit the response of total body and regional bone mass to the mechanical force induced by muscle, resulting in reduced skeletal mass relative to body size. In some obese children, this may result in osteoporosis at an age when fracture incidence is highest. Achieving an optimal peak bone mass during adolescence is crucial. The possible detrimental effect of fat on the bone accrual in the face of an increasing incidence of obesity has significant implications for future skeletal health.

Conclusion

Obesity is associated with delay in bone healing time, thereby increasing associated complications like infection, malunion, nonunion, refracture and implant failure. Our results support the suggestion that fracture risk, musculoskeletal discomfort and impairments in mobility may be health hazards of significant overweight in children and

- 1. Kopleman PG. Obesity as a medical problem. *Nature*. 2000; 404(6778):635-643.
- Reid IR, Ames R, Evans MC, Sharpe S, Gamble G, France JT, Lim TM, Cundy TF. Deteriments of total body and regional bone mineral density in normal post menopausal women a-key role for fat mass. *Journal of clinical endocrinology and metabolism.* 1992; 75(1):45-51.
- 3. Villaeal DT, Apovian CM, Kushner RF, Klein S. Obesity in older adults: technical review and position statement of the American society for Nutrition and NAASO, The obesity society. *American Journal of clinical Nutrition*. 2005; 82(5):923-934.
- Headley AA, Ogden CL, Johnson CL, Carroll MD, Curtin LR, Flegal KM. Prevalence of overweight and obesity among US Children, adolescents and adults 1999-2002. JAMA 2004; 291:2847-2850.
- Ogden CL, Fegal CM, Carroll MD, Johnson CL. Prevalence and trends in overweight among US children and adolescents 1999-2002. *JAMA*, 2004; 288:1728-1732.
- 6. Must A, Strauss RS. Risks and consequences of childhood and adolescent obesity. *Int Journal obesity and metabolism Disorder*. 1999; 23:S2-S11.
- 7. Dougados M, Gueguen A, Nguyen M et al. Longitudinal radiologic evaluation of osteoarthritis of the knee. *Jou Rheumatology*1992; 19:378-384.
- Cohen NP, Foster RJ, Mow VC. Composition and dynamics of articular cartilage structure, function and maintaining healthy state. *Jou Ortho Sports Phys Ther* 1998; 28:203-215.
- 9. Xiaxiong Z, He DWB. Body mass index, physical activity and the risk of decline in overall health and

adolescents. Efforts should be made to encourage health care provider's recognition of the orthopaedic complications of excess weight so that interventions can be initiated. studies should investigate Future the biomechanical priniciples that underlie the increased prevalence of orthopaedic problems in obesity. Finally, significant overweight and adolescents children should be encouraged to engage in alternative modes of physical activity, such as bicycle riding or swimming, that potentially could alleviate the severity of lower extremity joint loading and discomfort.

Acknowledgement

We are in debited to our beloved Director, Dean, Head of Department and staff of Orthopaedic Department for their comments and technical assistance with this article. We also thank all the Post-graduates and patients, who took part in this study.

References

physical functioning in late middle age. *American Journal of Public health* 2004; 94:1567-1573.

- Harrison MM, Morrell J, Hopman WM. Influence of obesity on outcome after knee arthroscopy. *Arthroscopy* 2004; 20:691-695.
- 11. Morris CD, Sepkowitz K, Fonshell C et al. Prospective identification of risk factors for wound infection after lower extremity oncologic surgery. *Ann Surg Oncol* 2003; 10:778-782.
- Henderson RC, Tibia Vara. A complication of adolescent obesity. *Jou Paediatrics* 1992; 121:482-486.
- Dietz WH Jr, Gross WL, Krikpatrick JA Jr. Blount disease another skeletal disorder associated with childhood obesity. *Jou Paediatrics*. 1982; 101:735-737.
- 14. Loder RT, Aronson DD, Greenfield ML. The epidemiology of biteral slipped capital femoral epiphysis. A study of children in Michigan. *Jou of Bone and Joint Surgery Am* 1993; 75:1141-1147.
- 15. Ehrlich PJ, Lanyon LE. Mechanical strain and bone cell function: a review. *Osteoporosis Int* 2002; 13(9):688-700.
- Bone wald LF, Johnson ML. Osteocytes, mechnosensing and Wntsignaling. *Bone* 2008; 42(4):606-615.
- 17. Sawakami K, Robling AG, Warden SJ. The Wnt co-receptor LRP5 is essential for skeletal mechanotransduction but not for the anabolic bone response to parathyroid hormone treatment. *Journal* of Biological Chemistry 2006; 281(33):23698-23711.

- Felson DT, Zhang Y, Anderson JJ. Effects of weight and body mass index on bone mineral density in men and women. The Framingham study. *Jou Bone Miner Res* 1993; 8(5):567-573.
- 19. Ravn P, Cizza G, Yates AJ. Low body mass index is an important risk factor for low bone mass and increased bone loss in early post menopausal women. *J Bone Miner Res* 1999; 14(9):1622-1627.
- 20. Robling AG, Castillo AB. Biomechanical and molecular regulation of bone remodeling. *Annual review of biomedical engineering* 2006; 8:455-498.
- 21. Reid IR, Evans MC. Volumetric bone density of the lumbar spine in relation to fat mass but not lean mass in

normal postmenopausal women. Osteoporosis Int 1994; 4(6):362-367.

- 22. Reid IR, Plank LD. Fat mass is an important determinant of whole body bone density in premenopausal women but not in men. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 1992; 75(3):779-782.
- 23. Goulding A, Taylor RW, Jones IE. Overweight and obese children have low bone mass and area for their weight. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord*. 2000; 24(5):627-632.
- 24. Blum M, Hariss SS, Must A. Leptin body composition and bone mineral density in premenopausal women. *Calcif Tissue Int* 2003; 73(1):27-32.

*All correspondences to: Dr M.S. Patil, Professor, Department of Orthopaedic, Al-Ameen Medical College and Hospital, Athani Road, Vijayapur-586108. Email: mallangoudapatil721@yahoo.com